Corporate Scrutiny Committee – WORK PROGRAMME (as at 01/03/22)

| Date of Meeting | Item | Lead Officer | Witnesses | Agenda Item Duration |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| 8 June 2022 | | | | |
| 8 June 2022 | 2021/22 Quarter 4 Performance Report | Dan Bates, Head of Finance | - | 30 minutes |

Requests for Items – None at present

| Date request | Requested by | Summary of request | Consideration | Reasons |
|--------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Received | | | by scrutiny Y/N | |
| | | | | |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | |

Principles and Criteria used for Assessing Items Put Forward

Identify Issues for consideration by Scrutiny

- Consulting with members of Scrutiny Committees, senior officers, Cabinet members horizon scanning on policy development
- Looking at the corporate priorities, Council Delivery Plan and Cabinet Forward plan identify key issues/topics for investigation/inquiry
- Considering events and decisions in the Council's calendar which could require an input/consultation via scrutiny eg budget setting, CDP development
- Considering requests from members eq via another forum or scoping report submitted
- Evaluating the Council's performance eq quarterly reports, end of year reports, reviewing success of a particular scheme or initiative
- Reviewing any follow up work required after previous scrutiny work

Prioritise the potential list of scrutiny topics based on factors including

- the resources required to deliver it (from members, offices and financially)
- the value and level of impact which could be achieved
- link to the council's priorities
- whether it is a regular recurring item which requires consideration before Cabinet/Council approval
- consideration of the guidance for selecting scrutiny topics

| Topics are suitable for Scrutiny when | Topics are not suitable for Scrutiny when | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Scrutiny could have an impact and add value | The issue is already being addressed elsewhere and change is imminent | | |
| The topic is of high local importance and reflects the concerns of local people | The topic would be better addressed elsewhere (and will be referred there) | | |
| The resources are available that would be required to conduct the review – staff and budget | Scrutiny involvement would have limited or no impact on outcomes | | |
| It avoids duplication of work elsewhere | The topic would be sub-judice or prejudicial to the councils interests | | |
| The issues is one that the committee can realistically influence | The topic is too broad to make a review realistic | | |
| The issue is related to an area where the council or one of its partners is not performing well | New legislation or guidance relating to the topic is expected in the next year | | |